

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 340 (2008) 394–400

Journal of
**MATHEMATICAL
 ANALYSIS AND
 APPLICATIONS**

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

On normal families and differential polynomials for meromorphic functions[☆]

Qian Lu

Department of Mathematics, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang, Sichuan 621010, PR China

Received 3 November 2006

Available online 30 August 2007

Submitted by A.V. Isaev

Abstract

We consider the normality criterion for a families \mathcal{F} meromorphic in the unit disc Δ , and show that if there exist functions $a(z)$ holomorphic in Δ , $a(z) \neq 1$, for each $z \in \Delta$, such that there not only exists a positive number ε_0 such that $|a_n(a(z) - 1) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_0$ for arbitrary sequence of integers a_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and for any $z \in \Delta$, but also exists a positive number $B > 0$ such that for every $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$, $B|f'(z)| \leq |f(z)|$ whenever $f(z)f''(z) - a(z)(f'(z))^2 = 0$ in Δ . Then $\{\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}: f(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is normal in Δ .

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Differential polynomials; Meromorphic functions; Zeros; Normality

1. Introduction and the main result

Hayman[5] proved in 1959 that if f is meromorphic in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and if $f(z) \neq 0$ and $f' \neq 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then f is constant. The corresponding normality criterion is due to Gu [4]: the family of all functions f meromorphic in a domain D and having the property that $f(z) \neq 0$ and $f' \neq 1$ for all $z \in D$ is normal. In 2000, W. Bergweiler [1] generalized Gu's results above by allowing f to have zeros, and obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.1. (See Theorem 1 in [1].) *Let A, ε be positive real numbers. Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all functions $f(z)$ meromorphic in D which satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) *If $f(z) = 0$, then $0 < |f'(z)| \leq A$.*
- (ii) *If $z \in D$, then $f'(z) \neq 1$.*
- (iii) *If Δ is a disk in D and if f has $m \geq 2$ zeros $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \Delta$, then for $m > 2$ there exists $k \in \{-1\} \cup \{1, 2, \dots, m - 2\}$ such that*

[☆] Supported by “11.5” researching & studying program of SWUST (No. 06zx2116).

E-mail address: luqiankuo1965@hotmail.com.

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m f'(z_j)^k - m^{k+1} \right| \geq \varepsilon; \quad (1.1)$$

for $m = 2$, z_1, z_2 satisfy the following inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{f'(z_1)} + \frac{1}{f'(z_2)} - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon. \quad (1.2)$$

Then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

If $m = 2$ in (iii), then the only possible choice for k is $k = -1$, and (1.1) reduces to (1.2). The choice $k = 0$ has been excluded in (iii) because (1.1) is never satisfied in this case. In 2005, W.C. Lin, H.X. Yi [8] obtained one result corresponding to the case $m = 2$ in (iii) of Theorem 1.1 as follows.

Theorem 1.2. (See Proposition in [8].) Let A, B, ε be positive real numbers. Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all functions $f(z)$ meromorphic in D which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) If $f(z) = 0$, then $0 < |f'(z)| \leq A$.
- (ii) If $f'(z) = 1$, then $|f(z)| \geq B$.
- (iii) If Δ is a disk in D and if f has $m \geq 2$ zeros $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \Delta$, then

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m f'(z_j)^{-1} - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon. \quad (1.3)$$

Then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

In this paper, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.3. Let A, B, ε be positive real numbers and $b(z)$ be a non-vanishing holomorphic function in a domain D . Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all functions $f(z)$ meromorphic in D which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) If $f(z) = 0$, then $0 < |f'(z)| \leq A$.
- (ii) If $f'(z) = b(z)$, then $|f(z)| \geq B$.
- (iii) If Δ is a disk in D and if $f(z)$ has $m \geq 2$ zeros $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \Delta$, the following formula always holds

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m f'(z_j)^{-1} b(z) - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon. \quad (1.4)$$

Then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

For families \mathcal{F} of meromorphic functions in D , W. Bergweiler [1] applied a family $\{f/f': f(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ to Theorem 1.1 and obtained the following result, whose corresponding result for families of holomorphic functions is due to Schwick [6].

Theorem 1.4. (See Theorem 3 in [1].) Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and let \mathcal{F} be the family of all functions f meromorphic in D such that f and f'' do not have zeros. Then $\{f/f': f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is normal.

In 2005, W.C. Lin, H.X. Yi [8] applied a family $\{\frac{f}{(a-1)f'}: f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ to Theorem 1.2 and obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.5. (See Theorem 2 in [8].) Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all functions f meromorphic in the unit disc Δ and let constants $a \neq 1$, $\frac{n+1}{n}$ for positive integers $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f(z)f''(z) - a(f'(z))^2 \neq 0$ in Δ . Then $\{\frac{f'}{f}: f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is normal in Δ .

In the present paper, for families \mathcal{F} of meromorphic functions, and for a function $a(z)$ holomorphic in a domain D , we also consider that the normality of the family $\{\frac{f'}{f}: f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and obtain the following theorem as an application of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.6. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of functions meromorphic in the unit disc Δ . Suppose that there exist holomorphic functions $a(z)$ in Δ , $a(z) \neq 1$, for each $z \in \Delta$, such that*

- (i) *there exists a positive number ε_0 such that for any integer a_n , and any $z \in \Delta$, the following inequality holds*

$$|a_n(a(z) - 1) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad (1.5)$$

- (ii) *there exists a positive number $B > 0$ such that for every $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$, $B|f'(z)| \leq |f(z)|$ whenever $f(z)f''(z) - a(z)(f'(z))^2 = 0$ in Δ .*

Then $\{\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}: f(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is normal in Δ .

For the restriction (i) of $a(z)$ in Theorem 1.6, we have the following notes,

Remark 1. If $a(z)$ is a constant a in Δ , then from Lemma 3.1 in [8], it follows that $a(z)$ satisfies the condition (i) in Theorem 1.6 if and only if $a \neq 1 \pm \frac{1}{n}$, for every positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 2. If $a(z)$ is not identically equal to a constant a in Δ , and satisfies the condition (i) in Theorem 1.6, then we immediately deduce that

$$a(z) \neq 1 \pm \frac{1}{n} \quad (1.6)$$

for every positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and every $z \in \Delta$.

Remark 3. Taking $a(z) = 1 + 3e^z$, $z \in \Delta = \{z: |z| < 1\}$, and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n(z) \mid f_n(z) = e^{nz}, z \in \Delta, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, so we immediately have that

- (a) $a(z) \neq 1$, for every $z \in \Delta$,
 (b) there exists a positive number $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{3}{e} - 1 > 0$ such that $|a_n(a(z) - 1) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_0$ for arbitrary sequences of integers a_n and any $z \in \Delta$, and
 (c) $f_n(z)f_n''(z) - a(z)(f_n'(z))^2 \neq 0$, for every $f_n(z) \in \mathcal{F}$, and any $z \in \Delta$.

Then from Theorem 1.6, we deduce that $\{\frac{f_n'(z)}{f_n(z)}: f_n(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is normal in Δ . In fact, it is clear that $\{\frac{f_n'(z)}{f_n(z)}: f_n(z) \in \mathcal{F}\} = \{n: f_n(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is normal in Δ .

This example $f_n(z) = e^{nz}$ only implies that there indeed exists function $a(z)$, which does not identically equal to a constant a in Δ , such that $a(z)$ satisfies condition (i) and a decadent case of (ii) in Theorem 1.6, in which $f_n(z)$ satisfies $f_n(z)f_n''(z) - a(z)(f_n'(z))^2 \neq 0$ for any $z \in \Delta$.

In particular, from Remark 1 above we have that if holomorphic function $a(z)$ in Theorem 1.6 is a constant a , then we have a corollary of Theorem 1.6 as follows.

Corollary 1.7. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of functions meromorphic in the unit disc Δ . Suppose that there exists a constant a , $a \neq 1, \frac{n \pm 1}{n}$, such that there exists a positive number $B > 0$ such that for every $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$, $B|f'(z)| \leq |f(z)|$ whenever $f(z)f''(z) - a(f'(z))^2 = 0$ in Δ . Then $\{\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}: f(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is normal in Δ , where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

For the case that $f(z)f''(z) - a \cdot (f'(z))^2 \neq 0$, for $z \in \Delta$, Corollary 1.7 properly is Theorem 1.5 proved by W.C. Lin, H.X. Yi (see Theorem 2 in [8]). In fact, we also have the following corollary from Theorem 1.6.

Corollary 1.8. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions and let \mathcal{F} be a family of functions meromorphic in the unit disc Δ . Suppose that there exists a function $a(z)$ holomorphic in Δ , $a(z) \neq 1$, for each $z \in \Delta$, such that

(i) there exists a positive number ε_0 such that

$$|a_n(a(z) - 1) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad (1.7)$$

for any integer a_n , and any $z \in \Delta$.

(ii) For every $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$, and each $z \in \Delta$, $f(z)f''(z) - a(z)(f'(z))^2 \neq 0$.

Then $\{\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}: f(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is normal in Δ .

If $a(z)$ is identically equal to a constant a in Δ , and $a \neq 1$, $\frac{n+1}{n}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), then from $|a_n(a - 1) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_0$ of Lemma 3.1 of [8], it follows that $a(z)$ satisfies (1.7). Also in this case the condition $ff^{(2)} - af^2 \neq 0$ is the same as condition (ii) in Corollary 1.8. Therefore, from Corollary 1.8 we immediately deduce that $\{\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}: f(z) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is normal in Δ . This shows that Corollary 1.8 is a generalizations of Theorem 1.5. From this meaning, Theorem 1.6 generalizes Theorem 1.5 due to Lin and Yi [8].

2. Some lemmas

To prove the above theorems, we need some lemmas as follow:

Lemma 2.1. (See [2].) Let $g(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function with finite order. If $g(z)$ has only finitely many critical values, then $g(z)$ has only finitely many asymptotic values.

Lemma 2.2. (See [1,7].) Let $g(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function and suppose that the set of all finite critical and asymptotic values of $g(z)$ is bounded. Then there exists $R > 0$ such that if $|z| > R$ and $|g(z)| > R$, then

$$\frac{|g'(z)|}{|g(z)|} \geq \frac{\log |g(z)|}{16\pi|z|}.$$

Lemma 2.3. (See [3].) Let $f(z) = a_n z_n + a_{n-1} z_{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 + \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$, where a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n are constants with $a_n \neq 0$, $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ are two co-prime polynomials with $\deg p(z) < \deg q(z)$, let k be a positive integer. If $f^{(k)}(z) \neq 1$, then

$$f(z) = \frac{z^k}{k!} + \cdots + a_0 + \frac{1}{(az + b)^m}$$

where $a (\neq 0)$, b are constants, m is a positive integer.

Lemma 2.4. (See [9].) Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions on the unit disc Δ , all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least k , and suppose there exists $A \geq 1$ such that $|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq A$ whenever $f(z) = 0$, $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then if \mathcal{F} is not normal, there exist, for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq k$:

- (a) a number r , $0 < r < 1$,
- (b) points z_n , $|z_n| < r$,
- (c) functions $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$, and
- (d) positive numbers $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\frac{f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi)}{\rho_n^\alpha} = g_n(\xi) \rightarrow g(\xi)$$

locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where $g(\xi)$ is a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} such that

$$g^\#(\xi) \leq g^\#(0) = kA + 1.$$

From Lemma 2.2, we have

Lemma 2.5. (See [8].) Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function with finite order, all of whose zeros are of multiplicity (at least) k , and let A be a positive real number. If $|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq A$ when $f(z) = 0$, then for each l , $1 \leq l \leq k$, $f^{(l)}(z)$ assumes any finite non-zero value infinitely often.

Lemma 2.6. (See [1].) Let $f(z) = z + a + \frac{b}{(z+c)^l}$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, $b \neq 0$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $p \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, l\}$, then

$$\text{Res} \left[\frac{(f')^p}{f}, -c \right] = 1 - (l+1)^p.$$

3. Proofs of theorems

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Suppose that \mathcal{F} is not normal in D , then there exists point $z_0 \in D$ such that \mathcal{F} is not normal at z_0 . From Lemma 2.4, there exist function family $f_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, points $z_n, z_n \rightarrow z_0$, positive numbers $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\frac{f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi)}{\rho_n} = g_n(\xi) \rightarrow g(\xi) \quad (3.1)$$

locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where $g(\xi)$ is a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} such that

$$g^\#(\xi) \leq g^\#(0) = A + 1, \quad (3.2)$$

$$f'_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi) = g'_n(\xi) \rightarrow g'(\xi). \quad (3.3)$$

We may claim that the following conclusions are true.

(I) $|g'(\xi)| \leq A$ whenever $g(\xi) = 0$.

In fact, suppose that there exists point ξ_0 such that $g(\xi_0) = 0$, by Hurwitz's Theorem, there exists point sequence $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi_0$ such that $g_n(\xi_n) = 0$, so $f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_n) = 0$. It follows that $|g'(\xi_0)| \leq A$ from the conditions that $0 < |f'(z)| \leq A$, whenever $f(z) = 0$.

(II) $g'(\xi) \neq b(z_0)$.

Suppose that $g'(\xi_0) = b(z_0) \neq 0$, if $g'(\xi) \equiv b(z_0)$, then $g(\xi) \equiv b(z_0)\xi + b_0$ and $|b(z_0)| \leq A$. We may deduce that

$$g^\#(0) = \frac{|b(z_0)|}{1 + |b_0|^2} \leq A < A + 1$$

which is a contradiction to formula (3.2), thus $g'(\xi) \not\equiv b(z_0)$. Now again by Hurwitz's Theorem, there exists point sequence $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi_0$ such that $g'_n(\xi_n) = b(z_n + \rho_n \xi_n)$, so $f'_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_n) = b(z_n + \rho_n \xi_n)$. It follows that $g(\xi_0) = \infty$ from the conditions that $|f'(z)| \geq B > 0$ whenever $f'(z) = b(z)$. This is also a contradiction.

(III) $g(\xi)$ is non-polynomials rational function.

Suppose not, then $g(\xi)$ is either polynomials function or meromorphic and transcendental function with order 2 at most. Suppose that $g(\xi)$ is polynomials function, we distinguish two cases.

Case 1. $\deg g(\xi) \geq 2$, then there exists a point ξ such that $g'(\xi) = b(z_0)$, this is a contradiction to the conclusion (II).

Case 2. $\deg g(\xi) = 1$, then $g(\xi) = a\xi + b$ where $|a| \leq A$ and $g^\#(0) = \frac{|a|}{1 + |b|^2} \leq A < A + 1$, a contradiction.

If $g(\xi)$ is meromorphic and transcendental function with order 2 at most, we can deduce a contradiction from Lemma 2.5 above. Thereby, the conclusion (III) also holds.

Now again from Lemma 2.3, we have the expression of $g(\xi)$

$$g(\xi) = b(z_0)\xi + a + \frac{b}{(\xi + c)^k} \quad (3.4)$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, $b \neq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We write $m := k + 1$ and $R > \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |\xi_j|$, where $\xi_j (1 \leq j \leq m)$ are the zeros of $g(\xi)$. For sufficiently large n we find m distinct zeros $\xi_{j,n} \in D(0, R)$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$) such that $g_n(\xi_{j,n}) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Denoting $\zeta_{j,n} := z_n + \rho_n \xi_{j,n}$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, then $\zeta_{j,n}$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$) are the zeros of f_n . Moreover, $\zeta_{j,n} \in \Delta_n := D(z_n, \rho_n R)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, and for sufficiently large n , $\Delta_n \subset D$ and f_n has no further zeros in Δ_n . Therefore, by (3.3), we deduce the next limit as follows:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m f_n'(\zeta_{j,n})^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^m g_n'(\xi_{j,n})^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^m \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{1}{g_n}, \xi_{j,n}\right) \rightarrow \sum_{\xi \in g^{-1}(0)} \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{1}{g}, \xi\right). \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, from (3.4) we have that

$$\frac{1}{g(\xi)} = \frac{1}{b(z_0)\xi} + O\left(\frac{1}{\xi^2}\right)$$

as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, and hence by (3.4), (3.5) we obtain the following limits

$$\sum_{j=1}^m f_n'(\zeta_{j,n})^{-1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{b(z_0)}.$$

This is a contradiction to (1.3). Therefore, the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 holds.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.6

In the sequel, we shall give the complete proof of Theorem 1.6 by Theorem 1.3.

Setting

$$h(z) := -\frac{f(z)}{f'(z)}, \quad f(z) \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (3.6)$$

Then we only have to prove that the family $\mathcal{H} := \{h(z), f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is normal in Δ .

Now we counter the first derivative $h'(z)$ of $h(z)$ and we have

$$h'(z) = \frac{f(z)f''(z) - (f'(z))^2}{(f'(z))^2} = \frac{ff'' - a(z)(f')^2}{(f')^2} + b(z) \quad (3.7)$$

where $b(z) \equiv a(z) - 1$. It is clear to see that the following inequality from the condition (i) in Theorem 1.6. is

$$|a_n b(z) - 1| \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad (3.8)$$

for any integer a_n and for every $z \in \Delta$. So, we have that

$$b(z) \neq \pm \frac{1}{n}, \quad z \in \Delta. \quad (3.9)$$

Firstly, by a simple computations, we have that if ξ is a zero or a pole of $f(z)$ with an order n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $h'(\xi) = \mp \frac{1}{n}$.

We may claim that

- (i) If $h(\xi) = 0$, $\xi \in \Delta$, then $0 < |h'(\xi)| \leq 1$.
- (ii) If there exist points $z \in \Delta$ such that $h'(z) = b(z)$, then $|h(z)| \geq B$.
- (iii) If Δ_1 is a disk in Δ and if $h(z)$ has $m \geq 2$ zeros $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \Delta_1$, then

$$\left| b(z) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^m h'(z_j)^{-1} \right) - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon_0. \quad (3.10)$$

In fact, if ξ is a zero of $h(z)$, from (3.6) we know that ξ is either $f(\xi) = 0$ or $f(\xi) = \infty$, thus $h'(\xi) = \mp \frac{1}{n}$. Then the claim (i) above holds.

Now suppose that there exists a point z_0 , $z_0 \in \Delta$, such that $h'(z_0) = b(z_0)$, then from (3.7) we may deduce similarly that

$$f(z_0)f''(z_0) - a(z_0)(f'(z_0))^2 = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

So $B|f'(z_0)| \leq |f(z_0)|$. On the other hand, from (3.11) we have that $f'(z_0) \neq 0$. Otherwise, if $f'(z_0) = 0$, we know that $f(z_0) = 0$ or $f''(z_0) = 0$ from (3.11). If $f(z_0) = 0$, then we obtain that $h'(z_0) = -\frac{1}{n}$ which contradicts (3.9). Thus, we have $f(z_0) \neq 0$. Combining with $f'(z_0) = 0$, we deduce that $h(z_0) = \infty$ which contradicts $h'(z_0) = b(z_0)$. Hence, we deduce that $f'(z_0) \neq 0$ and arrive at $|h(z_0)| \geq B$. That is, the claim (ii) above holds.

Finally, suppose that $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta$ is a disk and $h(z)$ has $m \geq 2$ zeros z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m in Δ_1 . Denoting

$$a_m = \sum_{j=1}^m h'(z_j)^{-1}.$$

It is not difficult to see that a_m is a sequence of integers. From (3.8), we have that there exists a positive ε_0 such that

$$\left| b(z) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^m h'(z_j)^{-1} \right) - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon_0. \quad (3.12)$$

Thereby, the claim (iii) above is true also.

Above all, by Theorem 1.3 and from the claim (i)–(iii), we deduce immediately that \mathcal{H} is normal in Δ . Thereby, the conclusion of Theorem 1.6 holds. This gives the complete proof of Theorem 1.6.

Acknowledgment

The author thanks the referee for his/her thorough review and valuable suggestions towards improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] W. Bergweiler, Normality and exceptional values of derivatives, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (1) (2000) 121–129.
- [2] W. Bergweiler, A. Eremenko, On the singularities of the inverse to a meromorphic function of finite order, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 11 (1995) 355–373.
- [3] Y.F. Wang, M.L. Fang, Picard values and normal families of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros, *Acta Math. Sin. (Chin. Ser.)* 41 (1998) 743–748.
- [4] Y.X. Gu, A normal criterion of meromorphic functions, *Sci. Sinica Math. (I)* (1979) 267–274.
- [5] W.K. Hayman, Picard values of meromorphic functions and its derivatives, *Ann. of Math.* 70 (1959) 9–42.
- [6] W. Schwick, Normality criteria for families of meromorphic functions, *J. Anal. Math.* 52 (1989) 241–289.
- [7] P.J. Rippon, G.M. Stallard, Iteration of a class of hyperbolic meromorphic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 115 (2) (1999) 355–362.
- [8] W.C. Lin, H.X. Yi, On homogeneous differential polynomials of meromorphic functions, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* 21 (2) (2005) 261–266.
- [9] X.C. Pang, L. Zalcman, Normal families and shared values, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 32 (2000) 325–331.